USD#

307

USD Form 150 2017-2018 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget - Lines 1 through 21

1.	2017-18 Adjusted FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at-risk.) (from Table I or Table IV)	=	461.5
2.	Estimated 9-20-2017 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (f) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 0.0 + 0.0 =	= <u></u>	0.0
3.	2017-18 Total Adjusted FTE Enrollment including 4 yr old at risk (Line 1 + Line 2)	=	461.5
4.	Estimated 9-20-2017 weighted low enrollment and high enrollment. (from line 3) 461.5 x 0.429317 factor (from Table II)	=	198.1
	Estimated 2017-18 Bilingual Weighting (a) (b) A. $(9/20/17 \text{ Contact Hrs} $	=	8.3
	Estimated 2017-18 weighted Career Technical Education (CTE) weighting (c) (9/20/17 CTE contact hrs	=	12.5
7.	Estimated 2017-18 At-Risk Student weighting (d) A. 9/20/17 Hdct	=	53.2
8.	Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (from Table VI, Line 2)		0.0
9.	Estimated 2017-18 School Facilities Weighting (e) 9/20/17 School Facilities FTE	=	0.0
10	227,268 ÷ \$4,006 = 227,268 or \$4,006		56.7
11	I. Estimated 2017-18 Ancillary School Facilities Weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeals 9 \$4,006		0.0
12	2. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (g) 503,667 ÷ \$4,006 =	=	125.7
13	3. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amount approved by Board of Tax Appeals0 x .50 ÷ \$4,006 =		0.0
14	Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=	0.0
15	5. Estimated 2017-18 Virtual State Aid (Table V, Line 4)	=	\$23,790
16	6. Estimated 2017-2018 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 14 times BASE + Line 15) 916.0 x \$4,006 + 23790 =	=	\$3,693,286
17	7. Estimated Cost of Living weighting (Must have 31% LOB) \$0 \(\frac{\district will use, up to the maximum)}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}} = \frac{\district \text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}{(Amt distri	=	0.0
18	3. Total 2017-2018 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) x \$4,006 + 23790 =	=	\$3,693,286
19	2017-18 Extraordinary Need State Aid (General Fund)	=	\$0
20). Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 18 + Line 19)	=	\$3,693,286
Lc	ocal Option Budget See Form 155		
21	I. Estimated 2017-2018 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr Spec Ed) (Lines 3 through 11 + 13 + 17) = 790.3 x 4490 = \$3548447 +	=	\$4,052,114

	TABLE I - Declining Enrollm	ent Calculation	USD#	307	•
1. September 20, 2016, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr	-			=	425.0
2. September 20, 2015, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr		= -		=	426.5
3. FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher	of line 1 or 2).	,		=	426.5
4. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarter	FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page			=	461.5
	TABLE II - Low and High Enrol	Iment Weighting			
Enrollment of District	TABLE II - Low and Trigit Enror	Factor			
0 - 99.9		1.0	14331		
100 - 299.9	{[73	337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷364			
300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over	{[5406 -	- 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷364	2.4} -1 03504		
		0.	03304		
'E' is 2017-2018 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Page 1,	line 3)				
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)					
{[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991					
	TABLE III - Transportation	ı Weighting			
Area of district in square miles 9-20-2017				=	225.0
All public pupils transported or for whom transportation	on is heing made available 9-20-201	7			
who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimated		210.0 + 2-20	0.0	=	210.0
3. Index of density = Line 2	-	210.0 divided by I	ine 1 225.0	=	0.93
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine transportate	ion weighting factor.			=	0.2295
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-201 2.5 miles (line 2) 210.0	7 number of resident students over x		4) (to Line 10, Page 1)	=	48.2
6. Take higher of 2017-18 Trans. State Aid	193,089 or 2016-17 Trans.	State Aid 22	27,268 (to Line 10, Page 1)	=	227,268
Does the district qualify for the Military Provision (for	TABLE IV - 2017 Senate Bill 19 declining enrollment)?	Military Provision NO	USD#	307	
2. 2014-15 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old a	t-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)			=	448.0
 Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE of new students of military (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 			0.0	=	0.0
 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old a 	t-risk. Kindergarten and Virtual)			=	426.5
5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military	,				
(Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)			0.0	=	0.0
6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old a	t-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)			=	425.0
 Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 			0.0	=	0.0
8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE	E (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kinderga	arten and virtual.)		=	448.0
9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/16 Est. FTE	E (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kinderga	arten and virtual.)		=	426.5
10. Sept. 20, 2016, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/17 Est. FT	` , ,	•		=	425.0
11. 3 YR AVG FTE*: (448.0	+ 426.5	+			
	(line 9)				

14. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if eligible for military provision.)

426.5

12. 2017-18 FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 9, 10, or 11).

13. 9/20/16 KDG Hdct as 1.0 ______ 35 + 2/20/17 Kindergarten Headcount as 1.0

	TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)	USD#	307
 Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for full-time st Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for part-time s Estimated Virtual Credits* (19 years and older). Estimated Virtual State Aid 		3.0 X \$5,000 1.0 X \$1,700 10.00 X \$709	= 15,000 = 1,700 = 7,090 = \$23,790
*No student shall be counted for more than 6 credits	s per year.		
technologies which predominately use internet-based r occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in se progress toward the next grade level and matriculation (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in su	gram that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that eparate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic from kindergarten through high school graduation; ubject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests		
	TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation	USD#	307
1. Estimated 2017-18 Free Lunch Percentage (1B divid	ded by 1A)		= 24.44 %
A. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Headcount (from Open page)	• ,	= 450	
B. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch Headcount (from C	Open page)	= 110	
 Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student W. A. USD Level (i or ii) i. High-Density At-Risk >= 50% (1B times 10.5° ii. High-Density At-Risk >= 35% and < 50% (11 B. SCHOOL Level 	%) =	= 0.0 0.0 = 0.0	= 0.0
approved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and dividing clock hours 15.0 ÷ 6 x 0.3 (b) FTE is computed by taking the total headcount of beapproved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and multiplying headcount 45 x 0.185 =	oilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an ing by factor of 0.185. Total	on Line 5) on Line 5) g t). Total	
(d) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistanthe higher of 10% of their 9/20 plus 2/20 enrollmen	ce plan for the school district. The "adjusted free lunch" for out or 9/20 plus 2/20 free lunch headcount.	districts guarantees	
	must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. Only eligible to schronstruction of new facilities or new schools that were built 7 and USD 475.		
(f) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FTI of Education.	E. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Department		
(g) Comes from form 118 (line 20).			
(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the follow	wing Monday will be the official count date.)		

8/24/2017 7:30 PM Form 150 Page 3

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

e) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new classroom facility will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for example:		281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4,006 = \$282,022

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	105 students for the day 154 students for the day 133 students for the day 121 students for the day 513
	divide by	7 class periods 73.3 FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4,006 = $73,310$

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

1. Did the district receive Federal Impact Aid?

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.

Qualifying for Military Provision for Declining Enrollment (Goes to Table IV)

 Did the district have a military dependent stude Did the district decline in enrollment for 2016-1. 	,	= NO = YES			
Qualifying for Military Provision for 2/20 weigh	tings_				
Is the 2/20/18 Est. FTE Enrollment	0.0	>=25 or 1% of the 9/20/17 Est. FTE Enrollment	0.0	=	NO

YES